arXiv:1611.06665v2 [math.OC] 22 Jan 2017

A New System of Global Fractional-order Interval Implicit Projection Neural Networks*

Zeng-bao Wu[a], Jin-dong Li[a,b], Nan-jing Huang[a†]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, P.R. China*

[b] *College of Management Science, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu, Sichuan 610059, P.R. China*

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate a new system of global fractional-order interval implicit projection neural networks. An existence and uniqueness theorem of the equilibrium point for such kind of global fractional-order interval implicit projection neural networks is obtained under some suitable assumptions. Moreover, Mittag-Leffler stability of the global fractional-order interval implicit projection neural networks is also proved. Finally, two numerical examples are given to illustrate the validity of our results.

Key Words and Phrases: Interval implicit projection neural networks, fractional-order calculus, equilibrium point, Mittag-Leffler stability.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a new system of global fractional-order interval implicit projection neural networks (FIIPNN for short) in $R^n \times R^m$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = P_{K_1(x(t))}[x(t) - \rho\,(Ax(t) + A^* y(t)) - \rho a] - x(t),\ t \geq 0, \\ x(0) = x_0 = (x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{n0})^\top, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha y(t) = P_{K_2(y(t))}[y(t) - \lambda\,(By(t) + B^* x(t)) - \lambda b] - y(t),\ t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = y_0 = (y_{10}, y_{20}, \ldots, y_{m0})^\top, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ${}_0^C D_t^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $K_1 : R^n \to 2^{R^n}$ and $K_2 : R^m \to 2^{R^m}$ are two set-valued mappings with nonempty closed convex values, $P_{K_1(x(t))}$ and $P_{K_2(y(t))}$ are two implicit projection operators, ρ, λ are two positive constants, $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)^\top \in R^n$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m)^\top \in$

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11471230, 11671282).

†Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: njhuang@scu.edu.cn; nanjinghuang@hotmail.com

R^m are two vectors, and

$$\begin{cases} A \in A_I = \left\{ (a_{ij})_{n\times n} \middle| \underline{A} \le A \le \overline{A}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{a}_{ij} \le a_{ij} \le \overline{a}_{ij} \right\}, \\ A^* \in A_I^* = \left\{ (a_{ij}^*)_{n\times m} \middle| \underline{A^*} \le A^* \le \overline{A^*}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{a^*}_{ij} \le a_{ij}^* \le \overline{a^*}_{ij} \right\}, \\ B \in B_I = \left\{ (b_{ij})_{m\times m} \middle| \underline{B} \le B \le \overline{B}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{b}_{ij} \le b_{ij} \le \overline{b}_{ij} \right\}, \\ B^* \in B_I^* = \left\{ (b_{ij}^*)_{m\times n} \middle| \underline{B^*} \le B^* \le \overline{B^*}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{b^*}_{ij} \le b_{ij}^* \le \overline{b^*}_{ij} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Some special cases of (1.1) are as follows.

(i) If $K_1(u) \equiv K_1$ and $K_2(v) \equiv K_2$ for all $(u, v) \in R^n \times R^m$, here $K_1 \subset R^n$ and $K_2 \subset R^m$ are two nonempty, closed and convex subsets, then (1.1) reduces to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = P_{K_1}[x(t) - \rho\left(Ax(t) + A^* y(t)\right) - \rho a] - x(t), \ t \ge 0, \\ x(0) = x_0 = (x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{n0})^\top, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha y(t) = P_{K_2}[y(t) - \lambda\left(By(t) + B^* x(t)\right) - \lambda b] - y(t), \ t \ge 0, \\ y(0) = y_0 = (y_{10}, y_{20}, \ldots, y_{m0})^\top, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

which is called the system of fractional-order interval projection neural networks introduced and studied by Wu et al. [1].

(ii) If $n = m$, $\underline{A} = A = \overline{A} = \underline{B} = B = \overline{B}$, $\underline{A^*} = A^* = \overline{A^*} = 0$, $\underline{B^*} = B^* = \overline{B^*} = 0$, $a = b$, $\rho = \lambda$, $x_0 = y_0$ and $K_1(u) = K_2(u) \equiv K$ for all $u \in R^n$, here $K \subset R^n$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset, then (1.1) reduces to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = P_K[x(t) - \rho A x(t) - \rho a] - x(t), \quad t \ge 0, \\ x_i(0) = x_{i0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

which is called the global fractional-order projective dynamical system investigated by Wu and Zou [2].

(iii) If $\alpha = 1$, $n = m$, $\underline{A} = A = \overline{A} = \underline{B} = B = \overline{B}$, $\underline{A^*} = A^* = \overline{A^*} = 0$, $\underline{B^*} = B^* = \overline{B^*} = 0$, $a = b$, $\rho = \lambda$, $x_0 = y_0$ and $K_1(u) = K_2(u)$ for all $u \in R^n$, then (1.1) reduces to the implicit projected dynamical system considered by Noor et al. [3].

We remark that for suitable choices of K_1, K_2, A, A^*, B, B^* and α in the formulation of (1.1), one can obtain many problems of the fractional-order projection neural networks (dynamical systems) and the implicit projection neural networks (dynamical systems) studied in recent past.

We note that there are a large number of theoretical results and applications regarding the projection neural networks (dynamical systems) in the literature; for instance, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 4–20] and the references therein. On the other hand, fractional order systems have continuously been a hot area in the research fields due to their application for control theory, mechanics and physics, viscoelasticity materials, biology, electrical circuits, neural networks and so on (see, for example, [21–26]). Nevertheless, in some practical world, it is necessary to consider some complex systems such as model involving (1.1). Next, we show that how this type of system can be put into practice by restating an example, which can be found in [8].

Example 1.1. To investigate day-to-day adjustments of flows and costs, in [8], Friesz et al. investigated a network tatonnement model. For the sake of brevity, we discuss here a simple traffic network (5 arcs and 4 nodes) illustrated in Figure 1. There is a single origin (node 1), a single destination (node 4) and three paths. Path p_1 consists of arcs a_1 and a_4, path p_2 consists of arcs a_2, a_3 and a_4, path p_3 consists of arcs a_2 and a_5. In particular note the following:

- $x_n(t)$: the flow on path p_n on day t, which is measured as the flow at the entrance of the first arc of path p_n on day t, $n = 1, 2, 3$;
- $y(t)$: the travel cost reported by the advanced traveler information system (ATIS) for origin (node 1) and destination (node 4) on day t;
- $C_{a_m}(f_{a_m}(t))$: the unit cost of flow on arc a_m on day t, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$;
- $f_{a_m}(t)$: the flow on arc a_m at time t, $f_{a_m}(t)$ and x_n are related by the identity $f_{a_m}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \chi_{a_m p_n} x_n(t)$;
- $\chi_{a_m p_n}$: the arc-path incidence matrix, specifically $\chi_{a_m p_n} = 1$ if $a_m \in p_n$ and $\chi_{a_m p_n} = 0$ otherwise;
- $T(y(t))$: the travel demand between origin (node 1) and destination (node 4) on day t.



Figure 1: 5-arcs, 4-nodes traffic network.

Applying the network tatonnement model presented by Friesz et al. [8], we have the following projection neural networks:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = \kappa_1\{P_{K_{1,1}}[x_1(t) - \rho(C_{a_1}(x_1(t)) + C_{a_4}(x_1(t) + x_2(t)) - y(t))] - x_1(t)\}, \\ \frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} = \kappa_2\{P_{K_{1,2}}[x_2(t) - \rho(C_{a_2}(x_2(t) + x_3(t)) + C_{a_3}(x_2(t)) + C_{a_4}(x_1(t) + x_2(t)) \\ \qquad\qquad -y(t))] - x_2(t)\}, \\ \frac{dx_3(t)}{dt} = \kappa_3\{P_{K_{1,3}}[x_3(t) - \rho(C_{a_2}(x_2(t) + x_3(t)) + C_{a_5}(x_3(t)) - y(t))] - x_3(t)\}, \\ \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = \eta_1\{P_{K_{2,1}}[y(t) - \lambda(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t) - T(y(t)))] - y(t)\}, \\ x_1(0) = x_1^0,\ x_2(0) = x_2^0,\ x_3(0) = x_3^0,\ y(0) = y^0,\ t \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where ρ, λ, $\kappa_i\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$, η_1 are positive constants, $K_{1,i}\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$, $K_{2,1}$ denote the feasible constraints with fixed lower and upper bounds for $x_i\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and y, respectively, that is,

$$K_{1,i} = \{x_i \in R |\, c_{1,i} \leq x_i \leq c_{2,i}\}\ (i = 1, 2, 3),\ K_{2,1} = \{y \in R |\, d_1 \leq y \leq d_2\}\,.$$

With the adjustment of flows and costs, it is difficult to maintain the same fixed bounds for constraint sets. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that $c_{1,i}$ and $c_{2,i}$ are dependent on flows, d_1 and d_2 are dependent on travel costs, that is, $c_{1,i}$ and $c_{2,i}$ are functions of $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$, d_1 and d_2 are functions of y. Therefore, the constraint sets can be rewritten as follows:

$$K_{1,i}(x) = \{\varrho_i \in R |\, c_{1,i}(x) \leq \varrho_i \leq c_{2,i}(x)\}\ (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad K_{2,1}(y) = \{\nu \in R |\, d_1(y) \leq \nu \leq d_2(y)\}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

We assume that the cost functions of flow on arc a_m can be written as follows

$$C_{a_m}(f_{a_m}(t)) = l_m \cdot f_{a_m}(t), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \tag{1.6}$$

and the travel demand function can be written as

$$T(y(t)) = r \cdot y(t), \tag{1.7}$$

where r, l_m $(m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ are real numbers. As Example 1.1 of [1] indicates, unfortunately, it is quite difficult to obtain the precise values of these coefficients in practical situations, while estimating them by using some confidence intervals is relatively easier, namely, $\underline{r} \le r \le \overline{r}$ and $\underline{l}_m \le l_m \le \overline{l}_m$.

Besides, the estimation based on traffic flow of the previous days by ATIS could obtain the travel costs of upcoming day in the travel information systems. Therefore, we can believe that these adjustment processes have memory. We observe there is a fact that it makes the fractional order model more appropriate than integer order to describe the problem that has a memory (see, for instance, [22, 23, 25, 26]). Thus, we find that it is more reasonable to substitute the integer order derivative by the fractional order one in model (1.4). Combining (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), model (1.4) can be extended as follows:

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x_1(t) = \kappa_1\{P_{K_{1,1}(x(t))}[x_1(t) - \rho((l_1 + l_4)x_1(t) + l_4 x_2(t) - y(t))] - x_1(t)\}, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x_2(t) = \kappa_2\{P_{K_{1,2}(x(t))}[x_2(t) - \rho(l_4 x_1(t) + \sum_{m=2}^{4} l_i x_2(t) + l_2 x_3(t) - y(t))] - x_2(t)\}, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x_3(t) = \kappa_3\{P_{K_{1,3}(x(t))}[x_3(t) - \rho(l_2 x_2(t) + (l_2 + l_5)x_3(t) - y(t))] - x_3(t)\}, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha y(t) = \eta_1\{P_{K_{2,1}(y(t))}[y(t) - \lambda(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t) - r y(t))] - y(t)\}, \\ x_1(0) = x_1^0,\ x_2(0) = x_2^0,\ x_3(0) = x_3^0,\ y(0) = y^0,\ t \ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

where ${}_0^C D_t^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ with $\underline{l}_m \le l_m \le \overline{l}_m$ and $\underline{r} \le r \le \overline{r}$.

When $\kappa_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, we know that model (1.8) is nothing but a form of FIIPNN (1.1).

It is worth to mention that FIIPNN (1.1) is interesting and important both as a dynamical model for the system whose equilibrium behavior is depicted by the (quasi) variational inequality, and also because the equilibrium point set of FIIPNN (1.1) coincides with the solution set to a (quasi) variational inequality problem. Moreover, we note that FIIPNN (1.1) captures the desired features of both the (quasi) variational inequality and the fractional-order dynamical systems within the same framework. Consequently, it is important and interesting to study the equilibrium point of FIIPNN (1.1) under some suitable conditions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some notations, definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of the equilibrium point for FIIPNN (1.1) and show the stability for FIIPNN (1.1) under some suitable assumptions. In Section 4, we give two numerical examples to demonstrate our main results.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic definitions and preliminary facts.

Definition 2.1. [21, 24, 27] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of $x(t)$ is given by

$$I_{t_0}^\alpha x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} x(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > t_0,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [21, 24, 27] The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined by

$${}_{t_0}^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_{t_0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} x'(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > t_0.$$

Definition 2.3. [21,24,27] A two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function is given by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)},\quad \alpha>0,\ \beta>0,\ z\in C.$$

For $\beta=1$, its one-parameter form is shown as

$$E_{\alpha}(z):=E_{\alpha,1}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)},\quad \alpha>0,\ z\in C.$$

In particular, $E_1(z)=e^z$.

Definition 2.4. The implicit projection operator $P_{K(x)}:R^n\to K$ is given by

$$P_{K(x)}[y]=\arg\min_{z\in K(x)}\|y-z\|,\quad x,\ y\in R^n.$$

Remark 2.1. It is worth noting that the implicit projection operator $P_{K(x)}$ is not a non-expansive operator. In many important applications [28–31], $K(x)$ has the following form:

$$K(x)=u(x)+K,$$

where $u(x)$ is a point to point mapping, K is a closed convex subset of R^n and the addition of a point v and a set K is defined by $v+K=\{v+w|\,w\in K\}$. In this case, one has

$$P_{K(x)}[y]=P_{u(x)+K}[y]=u(x)+P_K[y-u(x)],\quad \forall\ x,\ y\in R^n.$$

Definition 2.5. A pair of vectors $(x^*,y^*)\in R^n\times R^m$ is said to be an equilibrium point of (1.1) if, for each $A\in A_I$, $A^*\in A_I^*$, $B\in B_I$ and $B^*\in B_I^*$, (x^*,y^*) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} P_{K_1(x^*)}\left[x^*-\rho\left(Ax^*+A^*y^*\right)-\rho a\right]=x^*,\\ P_{K_2(y^*)}\left[y^*-\lambda\left(By^*+B^*x^*\right)-\lambda b\right]=y^*. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. [10, Corollary 2.4] Suppose that K is a closed convex set of a Hilbert space H. Then the projection operator P_K is non-expansive, i.e.,

$$\|P_K(u)-P_K(v)\|\le\|u-v\|,\quad \forall u,v\in H.$$

Lemma 2.2. [32, Remark 3.8] Define the set $G=[t_0,+\infty)\times\Omega$, let $g:G\to R^n$ be continuous and fulfil the locally Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable, where $\Omega\subset R^n$ is a domain. Then there exists a unique solution $x(t)$ of the following initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_0}^{C}D_t^{\alpha}x(t)=g(t,x),\ \alpha\in(0,1],\\ x(t_0)=x_0. \end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.6. (Mittag-Leffler Stability [32]) If $x^*=0$ is an equilibrium point of (2.1), the solution of (2.1) is called Mittag-Leffler stable if there exist two constants $\lambda>0$ and $b>0$ such that

$$\|x(t)\|\le\left[m(x(t_0))E_{\alpha}\left(-\lambda(t-t_0)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^b,$$

where $m(0)=0$, $m(x)\ge 0$, and $m(x)$ is locally Lipschitz on $x\in R^n$ with Lipschitz constant m_0.

Remark 2.2. For the equilibrium point $x^*\neq 0$, the solution of (2.1) is called Mittag-Leffler stable if

$$\|x(t)-x^*\|\le\left[m\left(x(t_0)-x^*\right)E_{\alpha}\left(-\lambda(t-t_0)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^b.$$

Lemma 2.3. [33, Theorem 2] If $x(t) \in C^1([0,+\infty), R)$ denotes a continuously differentiable function, the following inequality holds almost everywhere

$$ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha |x\left(t^+\right)| \leq sgn(x(t)) {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, $$

where $x(t^+) := \lim_{s \to t^+} x(s)$.

Lemma 2.4. [33, Theorem 1] For $t_0 = 0$, system (2.1) is Mittag-Leffler stable at the equilibrium point $x^* = 0$ if there exists a continuous function $V(t, x(t))$ satisfies

$$ \alpha_1 \|x(t)\|^a \leq V(t, x(t)) \leq \alpha_2 \|x(t)\|^{ab}, $$

$$ {}_0^C D_t^\beta V\left(t^+, x\left(t^+\right)\right) \leq -\alpha_3 \|x(t)\|^{ab} \text{ (holding almost everywhere)}, $$

where $V(t, x(t)) : [0,+\infty) \times \Omega \to R$ satisfies locally Lipschitz condition with respect to x, $\dot{V}(t, x(t))$ is piecewise continuous and $\lim_{s \to t^+} \dot{V}(s, x(s))$ exists for any $t \in [0,+\infty)$, $\Omega \subset R^n$ is a domain containing the origin and $V\left(t^+, x\left(t^+\right)\right) := \lim_{s \to t^+} V(s, x(s))$, $t \geq 0$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, α_i $(i = 1,2,3)$, a and b are arbitrary positive constants. If the assumptions hold globally on R^n, then $x^* = 0$ is globally Mittag-Leffler stable.

3 Main results

In this section, we will study the existence and uniqueness of the equilibrium point and global Mittag-Leffler stability for (1.1).

From now on we make the following assumptions:

(A_1) For any $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^\top \in R^n$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m)^\top \in R^m$, $K_1(x)$ and $K_2(y)$ are assumed to be as follows

$$ K_1(x) = u^1(x) + K_1, \quad K_2(y) = u^2(y) + K_2, $$

where

$$ u^1(x) = \left(u_1^1(x), u_2^1(x), \ldots, u_n^1(x)\right)^\top, \quad u_i^1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} x_j, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n, $$

$$ u^2(y) = \left(u_1^2(y), u_2^2(y), \ldots, u_m^2(y)\right)^\top, \quad u_j^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_{ji} y_i, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,m, $$

and

$$ K_1 = \{x \in R^n | \, c_{1,i} \leq x_i \leq c_{2,i}, \ i = 1,2,\ldots,n\}, \quad K_2 = \{y \in R^m | \, d_{1,j} \leq y_j \leq d_{2,j}, \ j = 1,2,\ldots,m\}, $$

here h_{ij}, l_{ji}, $c_{1,i}$, $c_{2,i}$, $d_{1,j}$ and $d_{2,j}$ are all constants;

(A_2) $1 - \rho \overline{a}_{ii} \geq h_{ii}, \ i = 1,2,\cdots,n$;

(A_3) $1 - \lambda \overline{b}_{jj} \geq l_{jj}, \ j = 1,2,\cdots,m$;

(A_4) There exist constants $\mu_i > 0$ $(i = 1,2,\ldots,n)$ and $\tau_j > 0$ $(j = 1,2,\ldots,m)$ such that

$$ \begin{cases} 0 < 1 - \rho \underline{a}_{ii} - h_{ii} + |h_{ii}| + \sum\limits_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i} \left(\widetilde{a}_{ji} + |h_{ji}|\right) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^m \frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i} \lambda \widetilde{b^*}_{ji} < 1, \ i = 1,2,\cdots,n, \\ 0 < 1 - \lambda \underline{b}_{jj} - l_{jj} + |l_{jj}| + \sum\limits_{i=1, i \neq j}^m \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j} \left(\widetilde{b}_{ij} + |l_{ij}|\right) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j} \rho \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} < 1, \ j = 1,2,\cdots,m, \end{cases} $$

where

$$\widetilde{a}_{ji} = \max\left\{\left|\rho\underline{a}_{ji} + h_{ji}\right|, \left|\rho\overline{a}_{ji} + h_{ji}\right|\right\} \quad \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{a^*}_{ij}\right|, \left|\overline{a^*}_{ij}\right|\right\}$$

and

$$\widetilde{b}_{ij} = \max\left\{\left|\lambda\underline{b}_{ij} + l_{ij}\right|, \left|\lambda\overline{b}_{ij} + l_{ij}\right|\right\}, \quad \widetilde{b^*}_{ji} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{b^*}_{ji}\right|, \left|\overline{b^*}_{ji}\right|\right\}.$$

Clearly, under assumption (A_1), an equivalent formulation of (1.1) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x_i(t) = P_{K_{1,i}(x(t))}\left[x_i(t) - \rho\left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j(t) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* y_j(t)\right) - \rho a_i\right] - x_i(t), \ t \geq 0, \\ x_i(0) = x_{i0}, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \\ {}_0^C D_t^\alpha y_j(t) = P_{K_{2,j}(y(t))}\left[y_j(t) - \lambda\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_{ji}y_i(t) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^n b_{ji}^* x_i(t)\right) - \lambda b_j\right] - y_j(t), \ t \geq 0, \\ y_j(0) = y_{j0}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\underline{a}_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \overline{a}_{ij}$, $\underline{a^*}_{ij} \leq a_{ij}^* \leq \overline{a^*}_{ij}$, $\underline{b}_{ji} \leq b_{ji} \leq \overline{b}_{ji}$, $\underline{b^*}_{ji} \leq b_{ji}^* \leq \overline{b^*}_{ji}$,

$$K_{1,i}(x(t)) = u_i^1(x(t)) + K_{1,i} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}x_j(t) + K_{1,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$K_{2,j}(y(t)) = u_j^2(y(t)) + K_{2,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m l_{ji}y_i(t) + K_{2,j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$K_{1,i} = \{x_i \in R |\, c_{1,i} \leq x_i \leq c_{2,i}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$K_{2,j} = \{y_j \in R |\, d_{1,j} \leq y_j \leq d_{2,j}\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \tag{3.5}$$

3.1 Existence and uniqueness of the equilibrium point

Theorem 3.1. Let assumptions (A_1)-(A_4) hold. Then FIIPNN (1.1) has a unique equilibrium point for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$.

Proof. For any given $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$, let $T_{\rho i} : R^n \times R^m \to R$ be given by

$$T_{\rho i}(x, y) = \mu_i P_{K_{1,i}^\mu(x)}\left[\frac{x_i}{\mu_i} - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\frac{x_j}{\mu_j} + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^*\frac{y_j}{\tau_j}\right) - \rho a_i\right], \quad \forall\ (x, y) \in R^n \times R^m, \tag{3.6}$$

and $T_\rho(x, y)$ be given by

$$T_\rho(x, y) = (T_{\rho 1}(x, y), T_{\rho 2}(x, y), \cdots, T_{\rho n}(x, y))^\top, \quad \forall\ (x, y) \in R^n \times R^m, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$K_{1,i}^\mu(x) = u_i^{1,\mu}(x) + K_{1,i},$$

$$u_i^{1,\mu}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}\frac{x_j}{\mu_j}, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n)^\top$$

and $K_{1,i}$ is defined by (3.4).

Let

$$\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^\top \in R^n.$$

Suppose that $\left(x^1, y^1\right)$ and $\left(x^2, y^2\right)$ are any two vectors in $R^n \times R^m$. Then, by (3.6), (3.7) and Remark 2.1, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_\rho\left(x^2, y^2\right)-T_\rho\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\| \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^n\left|T_{\rho i}\left(x^2, y^2\right)-T_{\rho i}\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right| \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\left|P_{K_{1, i}^\mu\left(x^2\right)}\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}\right)-\rho a_i\right]\right. \\
& \left.-P_{K_{1, i}^\mu\left(x^1\right)}\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}\right)-\rho a_i\right]\right| \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\left|u_i^{1, \mu}\left(x^2\right)+P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}\right)-\rho a_i-u_i^{1, \mu}\left(x^2\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-u_i^{1, \mu}\left(x^1\right)-P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}\right)-\rho a_i-u_i^{1, \mu}\left(x^1\right)\right]\right| \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\left|\sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} \frac{x_j^2-x_j^1}{\mu_j}+P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right. \\
& \left.-P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n\left|h_{ij}\right| \frac{\left|x_j^2-x_j^1\right|}{\mu_j}+\left|P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

By Assumptions (A_2), (A_4) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right. \\
& \left.-P_{K_{1, i}}\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right| \\
\leq & \left|\left[\frac{x_i^2}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^2}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\frac{x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^1}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^1}{\tau_j}-\rho a_i\right]\right| \\
= & \left|\frac{x_i^2-x_i^1}{\mu_i}-\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right) \frac{x_j^2-x_j^1}{\mu_j}-\sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* \frac{y_j^2-y_j^1}{\tau_j}\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n\left|\rho a_{ij}+h_{ij}\right| \frac{\left|x_j^2-x_j^1\right|}{\mu_j}+\left|1-\rho a_{ii}-h_{ii}\right| \frac{\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right|}{\mu_i}+\sum_{j=1}^m \rho\left|a_{ij}^*\right| \frac{\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right|}{\tau_j} \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \widetilde{a}_{ij} \frac{\left|x_j^2-x_j^1\right|}{\mu_j}+\left(1-\rho \underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right) \frac{\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right|}{\mu_i}+\sum_{j=1}^m \rho \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} \frac{\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right|}{\tau_j} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}
$$

In light of (3.8) and (3.9), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|T_\rho\left(x^2,y^2\right)-T_\rho\left(x^1,y^1\right)\right\| \\
\leq\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_j}\left(|h_{ij}|+\widetilde{a}_{ij}\right)\left|x_j^2-x_j^1\right|+\left(|h_{ii}|+1-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right)\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right|\right\} \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right| \\
=\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+|h_{ii}|+1-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right|. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let $T_{\lambda j}:R^n\times R^m\to R$ be defined by

$$T_{\lambda j}(x,y)=\tau_j P_{K_{2,j}^{\tau}(y)}\left[\frac{y_j}{\tau_j}-\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_{ji}\frac{y_i}{\tau_i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{ji}^*\frac{x_i}{\mu_i}\right)-\lambda b_j\right],\ \forall\ (x,y)\in R^n\times R^m, \qquad (3.11)$$

and $T_\lambda(x,y)$ be given by

$$T_\lambda(x,y)=\left(T_{\lambda 1}(x,y),T_{\lambda 2}(x,y),\cdots,T_{\lambda m}(x,y)\right)^\top,\ \forall\ (x,y)\in R^n\times R^m, \qquad (3.12)$$

where

$$K_{2,j}^{\tau}(y)=u_j^{2,\tau}(y)+K_{2,j},$$
$$u_j^{2,\tau}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}l_{ji}\frac{y_i}{\tau_i},\quad \tau=(\tau_1,\tau_2,\ldots,\tau_m)^\top$$

and $K_{2,j}$ is defined by (3.5). Then similar to the proof of (3.10), by Assumptions (A_3), (A_4), Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, it follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|T_\lambda\left(x^2,y^2\right)-T_\lambda\left(x^1,y^1\right)\right\|=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|T_{\lambda j}\left(x^2,y^2\right)-T_{\lambda j}\left(x^1,y^1\right)\right| \\
\leq\ & \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{m}\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\left(|l_{ij}|+\widetilde{b}_{ij}\right)+|l_{jj}|+1-\lambda\underline{b}_{jj}-l_{jj}\right\}\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right| \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji}\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right|. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|T_\rho\left(x^2,y^2\right)-T_\rho\left(x^1,y^1\right)\right\|+\left\|T_\lambda\left(x^2,y^2\right)-T_\lambda\left(x^1,y^1\right)\right\| \\
\leq\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+|h_{ii}|+1-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji}\left|x_i^2-x_i^1\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{m}\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\left(|l_{ij}|+\widetilde{b}_{ij}\right)+|l_{jj}|+1-\lambda\underline{b}_{jj}-l_{jj}\right\}\left|y_j^2-y_j^1\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \left|x_i^2 - x_i^1\right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_j \left|y_j^2 - y_j^1\right| \\
&\leq \kappa \left(\left\|x^2 - x^1\right\| + \left\|y^2 - y^1\right\|\right), \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\xi_i = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i} \left(|h_{ji}| + \widetilde{a}_{ji}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i} \lambda \widetilde{b^*}_{ji} + |h_{ii}| + 1 - \rho \underline{a}_{ii} - h_{ii}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \qquad (3.15)
$$

and

$$
\zeta_j = \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{m} \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j} \left(|l_{ij}| + \widetilde{b}_{ij}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j} \rho \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} + |l_{jj}| + 1 - \lambda \underline{b}_{jj} - l_{jj}, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \qquad (3.16)
$$

with

$$
\kappa = \max\left\{\max_{1\leq i\leq n} \xi_i, \max_{1\leq j\leq m} \zeta_j\right\}.
$$

Obviously, Assumption (A_4) implies that $0 < \kappa < 1$.

Define a mapping $T_{\rho\lambda} : R^n \times R^m \to R^n \times R^m$ by $T_{\rho\lambda}(x, y) = (T_\rho(x, y), T_\lambda(x, y))$, and a norm by $\|(x, y)\|_1 = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for any $x \in R^n$ and $y \in R^m$. Then, it is well known that $(R^n \times R^m, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space. Now (3.14) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|T_{\rho\lambda}\left(x^2, y^2\right) - T_{\rho\lambda}\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\| \\
= &\left\|\left(T_\rho\left(x^2, y^2\right), T_\lambda\left(x^2, y^2\right)\right) - \left(T_\rho\left(x^1, y^1\right), T_\lambda\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right)\right\| \\
= &\left\|T_\rho\left(x^2, y^2\right) - T_\rho\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\| + \left\|T_\lambda\left(x^2, y^2\right) - T_\lambda\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\| \\
\leq &\ \kappa\left(\left\|x^2 - x^1\right\| + \left\|y^2 - y^1\right\|\right) \\
= &\ \kappa\left\|\left(x^2, y^2\right) - \left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\|. \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (3.17) shows that $T_{\rho\lambda}$ is a contraction mapping and therefore there exists a unique $(u^*, v^*) \in R^n \times R^m$ such that $T_{\rho\lambda}(u^*, v^*) = (u^*, v^*)$, that is,

$$
\begin{cases}
\mu_i P_{K_{1,i}^{\mu}(u^*)} \left[\frac{u_i^*}{\mu_i} - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{u_j^*}{\mu_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}^* \frac{v_j^*}{\tau_j}\right) - \rho a_i\right] = u_i^*, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\tau_j P_{K_{2,j}^{\tau}(v^*)} \left[\frac{v_j^*}{\tau_j} - \lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ji} \frac{v_i^*}{\tau_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ji}^* \frac{u_i^*}{\mu_i}\right) - \lambda b_j\right] = v_j^*, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\end{cases}
$$

for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$. Let $x_i^* = \frac{u_i^*}{\mu_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ and $y_j^* = \frac{v_j^*}{\tau_j}$ $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{cases}
P_{K_1(x^*)}\left[x^* - \rho\left(Ax^* + A^* y^*\right) - \rho a\right] = x^*, \\
P_{K_2(y^*)}\left[y^* - \lambda\left(By^* + B^* x^*\right) - \lambda b\right] = y^*
\end{cases}
$$

and so the proof is complete. □

Remark 3.1. We note that Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [1].

Remark 3.2. Let I be an identity mapping. Then, by the definition of $T_{\rho\lambda}$ and (3.17), one has

$$
\left\|\left(T_{\rho\lambda} - I\right)\left(x^2, y^2\right) - \left(T_{\rho\lambda} - I\right)\left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\| \leq (1 + \kappa)\left\|\left(x^2, y^2\right) - \left(x^1, y^1\right)\right\|, \quad \forall \ \left(x^1, y^1\right), \left(x^2, y^2\right) \in R^n \times R^m.
$$

This shows that $T_{\rho\lambda} - I$ is a Lipschitzian mapping. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that FIIPNN (1.1) has a unique solution for any given $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$.

3.2 Global Mittag-Leffler stability

In this subsection, we show that FIIPNN (1.1) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that Assumptions (A_1)-(A_4) are satisfied. Then FIIPNN (1.1) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$.

Proof. For any given $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$. According to Remark 3.2, we deduce that there exists a unique solution for FIIPNN (1.1). Let

$$\left(x^1(t), y^1(t)\right) = \left(\left(x_1^1(t), x_2^1(t), \cdots, x_n^1(t)\right)^\top, \left(y_1^1(t), y_2^1(t), \cdots, y_m^1(t)\right)^\top\right)$$

and

$$\left(x^2(t), y^2(t)\right) = \left(\left(x_1^2(t), x_2^2(t), \cdots, x_n^2(t)\right)^\top, \left(y_1^2(t), y_2^2(t), \cdots, y_m^2(t)\right)^\top\right)$$

be solutions of FIIPNN (1.1) with different initial values

$$\left(x^1(0), y^1(0)\right) = \left(\left(x_1^1(0), x_2^1(0), \cdots, x_n^1(0)\right)^\top, \left(y_1^1(0), y_2^1(0), \cdots, y_m^1(0)\right)^\top\right)$$

and

$$\left(x^2(0), y^2(0)\right) = \left(\left(x_1^2(0), x_2^2(0), \cdots, x_n^2(0)\right)^\top, \left(y_1^2(0), y_2^2(0), \cdots, y_m^2(0)\right)^\top\right),$$

respectively. Denote

$$e_i^1(t) = x_i^2(t) - x_i^1(t)\ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n), \quad e^1(t) = \left(e_1^1(t), e_2^1(t), \cdots, e_n^1(t)\right)^\top$$

and

$$e_j^2(t) = y_j^2(t) - y_j^1(t)\ (j = 1, 2, \cdots, m), \quad e^2(t) = \left(e_1^2(t), e_2^2(t), \cdots, e_m^2(t)\right)^\top.$$

In light of Assumption (A_1), it follows from (3.1) and Remark 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_0^C D_t^\alpha e_i^1(t)\\
=\ & P_{K_{1,i}(x^2(t))}\left[x_i^2(t) - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t)\right) - \rho a_i\right]\\
& - P_{K_{1,i}(x^1(t))}\left[x_i^1(t) - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^1(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t)\right) - \rho a_i\right] - e_i^1(t)\\
=\ & u_i^1\left(x^2(t)\right) - e_i^1(t) + P_{K_{1,i}}\left[x_i^2(t) - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t)\right) - \rho a_i - u_i^1\left(x^2(t)\right)\right]\\
& - u_i^1\left(x^1(t)\right) - P_{K_{1,i}}\left[x_i^1(t) - \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j^1(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t)\right) - \rho a_i - u_i^1\left(x^1(t)\right)\right]\\
=\ & \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}e_j^1(t) - e_i^1(t) + P_{K_{1,i}}\left[x_i^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right)x_j^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t) - \rho a_i\right]\\
& - P_{K_{1,i}}\left[x_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right)x_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^m \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t) - \rho a_i\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^C D_t^\alpha e_j^2(t) &= P_{K_{2,j}}\left[y_j^2(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda b_{ji} + l_{ji}\right)y_i^2(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda b_{ji}^* x_i^2(t) - \lambda b_j\right]\\
&\quad - P_{K_{2,j}}\left[y_j^1(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\lambda b_{ji} + l_{ji}\right)y_i^1(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda b_{ji}^* x_i^1(t) - \lambda b_j\right] + \sum_{i=1}^m l_{ji}e_i^2(t) - e_j^2(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Let $\mu_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, $\tau_j > 0$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$,

$$V\left(t, \left(e^1(t), e^2(t)\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left|e_i^1(t)\right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \left|e_j^2(t)\right| \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$V_1\left(t, e^1(t)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left|e_i^1(t)\right|. \tag{3.21}$$

Then, applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 with Assumptions (A_2) and (A_4), it follows from (3.18) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_0^C D_t^\alpha V_1\left(t^+, e^1\left(t^+\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \, {}_0^C D_t^\alpha \left|e_i^1(t^+)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \, sgn\left(e_i^1(t)\right) \, {}_0^C D_t^\alpha e_i^1(t) \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \, sgn\left(e_i^1(t)\right) \left\{ P_{K_{1,i}} \left[x_i^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t) - \rho a_i \right] \right. \\
& \left. - P_{K_{1,i}} \left[x_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t) - \rho a_i \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{ij} e_j^1(t) - e_i^1(t) \right\} \\
\leq \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \left| P_{K_{1,i}} \left[x_i^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t) - \rho a_i \right] \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - P_{K_{1,i}} \left[x_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t) - \rho a_i \right] \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |h_{ij}| \left|e_j^1(t)\right| - \left|e_i^1(t)\right| \right\} \\
\leq \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \left| \left[x_i^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^2(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^2(t) - \rho a_i \right] \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left[x_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) x_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* y_j^1(t) - \rho a_i \right] \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |h_{ij}| \left|e_j^1(t)\right| - \left|e_i^1(t)\right| \right\} \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \left| e_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) e_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* e_j^2(t) \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |h_{ij}| \left|e_j^1(t)\right| - \left|e_i^1(t)\right| \right\} \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} |h_{ij}| \left|e_j^1(t)\right| + \left| e_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) e_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* e_j^2(t) \right| \right\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left(|h_{ii}| - 1\right) \left|e_i^1(t)\right|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} |h_{ij}| \left|e_j^1(t)\right| + \left| e_i^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}\right) e_j^1(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho a_{ij}^* e_j^2(t) \right| \right\} \\
\leq \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \left(|h_{ij}| + |\rho a_{ij} + h_{ij}|\right) \left|e_j^1(t)\right| + |1 - (\rho a_{ii} + h_{ii})| \left|e_i^1(t)\right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho \left|a_{ij}^*\right| \left|e_j^2(t)\right| \right\} \\
\leq \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \mu_i \left(|h_{ij}| + \widetilde{a}_{ij}\right) \left|e_j^1(t)\right| + \mu_i \left(1 - \rho \underline{a}_{ii} - h_{ii}\right) \left|e_i^1(t)\right| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_i \rho \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} \left|e_j^2(t)\right| \right\} \\
= \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i} \left(|h_{ji}| + \widetilde{a}_{ji}\right) \mu_i \left|e_i^1(t)\right| + \left(1 - \rho \underline{a}_{ii} - h_{ii}\right) \mu_i \left|e_i^1(t)\right| \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_i \rho \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} \left|e_j^2(t)\right|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+1-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|. \tag{3.23}$$

Now from (3.22) and (3.23), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^C D_t^\alpha V_1\left(t^+,e^1\left(t^+\right)\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+1-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i\left(|h_{ii}|-1\right)\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+|h_{ii}|-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Let

$$V_2\left(t,e^2(t)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|. \tag{3.25}$$

Then, similar to the proof of (3.24), by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 with Assumptions (A_3) and (A_4), it follows from (3.19) and (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_0^C D_t^\alpha V_2\left(t^+,e^2\left(t^+\right)\right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{m}\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\left(|l_{ij}|+\widetilde{b}_{ij}\right)+|l_{jj}|-\lambda\underline{b}_{jj}-l_{jj}\right\}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right| \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

In light of (3.20), (3.24) and (3.26), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_0^C D_t^\alpha V\left(t^+,\left(e^1\left(t^+\right),e^2\left(t^+\right)\right)\right)={}_0^C D_t^\alpha V_1\left(t^+,e^1\left(t^+\right)\right)+{}_0^C D_t^\alpha V_2\left(t^+,e^2\left(t^+\right)\right) \\
\leq &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+|h_{ii}|-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
&\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{m}\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\left(|l_{ij}|+\widetilde{b}_{ij}\right)+|l_{jj}|-\lambda\underline{b}_{jj}-l_{jj}\right\}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\left(|h_{ji}|+\widetilde{a}_{ji}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji}+|h_{ii}|-\rho\underline{a}_{ii}-h_{ii}\right\}\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right| \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{m}\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\left(|l_{ij}|+\widetilde{b}_{ij}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij}+|l_{jj}|-\lambda\underline{b}_{jj}-l_{jj}\right\}\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right| \\
= &-\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\xi_i\right)\mu_i\left|e_i^1(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-\zeta_j\right)\tau_j\left|e_j^2(t)\right|\right\} \\
\leq &-\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i|e_i^1(t)|+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\tau_j|e_j^2(t)|\right) \\
= &-\theta V\left(t,\left(e^1(t),e^2(t)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where ξ_i and ζ_j are defined by (3.15) and (3.16), respectively, and

$$\theta = \min\left\{\min_{1\le i\le n}(1-\xi_i), \min_{1\le j\le m}(1-\zeta_j)\right\}.$$

According to Lemma 2.4, similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in [33], we can show that (1.1) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$, i.e.,

$$\|(x(t), y(t)) - (x^*, y^*)\| \le V(0, (x(0), y(0)) - (x^*, y^*))E_\alpha(-\theta t^\alpha),$$

where (x^*, y^*) is the equilibrium point of (1.1), which completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.1. Assume that

(H1) $1 - \rho\overline{a}_{ii} \ge 0,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$;

(H2) $1 - \lambda\overline{b}_{jj} \ge 0,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$;

(H3) There exist constants $\mu_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and $\tau_j > 0$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 < 1 - \rho\underline{a}_{ii} + \sum\limits_{j=1, j\ne i}^{n} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\rho\widetilde{a}_{ji} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\tau_j}{\mu_i}\lambda\widetilde{b^*}_{ji} < 1,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \\ 0 < 1 - \lambda\underline{b}_{jj} + \sum\limits_{i=1, i\ne j}^{m} \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_j}\lambda\widetilde{b}_{ij} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i}{\tau_j}\rho\widetilde{a^*}_{ij} < 1,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\widetilde{a}_{ji} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{a}_{ji}\right|, \left|\overline{a}_{ji}\right|\right\}, \quad \widetilde{a^*}_{ij} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{a^*}_{ij}\right|, \left|\overline{a^*}_{ij}\right|\right\}$$

and

$$\widetilde{b}_{ij} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{b}_{ij}\right|, \left|\overline{b}_{ij}\right|\right\}, \quad \widetilde{b^*}_{ji} = \max\left\{\left|\underline{b^*}_{ji}\right|, \left|\overline{b^*}_{ji}\right|\right\}.$$

Then, the system of fractional-order interval projection neural networks (1.2) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$.

Remark 3.3. We note that Corollary 3.1 is an improved version of Theorem 4.1 in [1].

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that

$$1 - \sum_{j=1, j\ne i}^{n} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_i}\rho|a_{ji}| - |1 - \rho a_{ii}| > 0, \quad \mu_i > 0,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Then, the global fractional-order projective dynamical system (1.3) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable.

Remark 3.4. It is worth mentioning that Corollary 3.2 is an improved version of Theorem 4.1 (a) in [2].

Remark 3.5. Following the paper [34], we studied the α-exponential stability for the global fraction-order projective dynamical system in [2] and for the system of fractional-order interval projection neural networks in [1] without noticing the paper [35], in which the authors pointed out that the conclusion of α-exponential stability introduced in [34] is invalid in the fractional system. Referring the papers [33,35–37], we would like to point out that the conclusions of α-exponential stability in [1,2] should be replaced by the Mittag-Leffler stability.

4 Numerical examples

In this section, we present two examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 4.1. Suppose that $\alpha = 0.8$, $\rho = 0.3$, $\lambda = 0.2$, $a = (-7.1, 4.2, -2.4)^\top$, $b = (-3.5, 1.2)^\top$,

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.6 & 0.3 & -0.3 \\ -0.5 & 3.4 & -0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.6 & 2.1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.9 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ -0.4 & 3.6 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0.8 & 2.5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{A^*} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & -0.4 \\ -0.2 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\overline{A^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.3 & -0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.5 & 0.4 \\ -0.2 & 2.6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.2 & 2.8 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\underline{B^*} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4 & 0.1 & -0.3 \\ 0.5 & -0.2 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{B^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.7 & -0.3 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(h_{ij})_{3\times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.09 & 0.06 & -0.03 \\ -0.05 & -0.17 & 0.08 \\ 0.07 & -0.06 & 0.11 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (l_{ij})_{2\times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.03 \\ -0.08 & 0.09 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K_1(x) = u^1(x) + K_1, \quad u^1(x) = (u_1^1(x), u_2^1(x), u_3^1(x))^\top = (h_{ij})_{3\times 3} \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top,$$

$$K_2(y) = u^2(y) + K_2, \quad u^2(y) = (u_1^2(y), u_2^2(y))^\top = (l_{ij})_{2\times 2} \cdot (y_1, y_2)^\top,$$

$$K_1 = \left\{x \in R^3 | 3 \le x_1 \le 4,\ -1.5 \le x_2 \le -0.5,\ 0.5 \le x_3 \le 1.5\right\},$$

and

$$K_2 = \left\{y \in R^2 | 1.5 \le y_1 \le 2.5,\ -2.5 \le y_2 \le -1\right\}.$$

If $\mu_i = \tau_j = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, 3,\ j = 1, 2)$, then one can check that (A_1)-(A_4) hold. Thus, FIIPNN (1.1) has a unique equilibrium point for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$ and (1.1) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable for each $A \in A_I$, $A^* \in A_I^*$, $B \in B_I$ and $B^* \in B_I^*$. Figure 2 shows the trajectories of (1.1) with the same initial value $x_0 = (8.6, -7.3, -5.2)^\top$, $y_0 = (6.7, -8.5)^\top$ when $A = \underline{A}$, $A^* = \underline{A^*}$, $B = \underline{B}$, $B^* = \underline{B^*}$ and $A = \overline{A}$, $A^* = \overline{A^*}$, $B = \overline{B}$, $B^* = \overline{B^*}$, respectively.



Figure 2: The line $\left((x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top, (y_1, y_2)^\top\right)$ denotes the transient behavior of FIIPNN (1.1) when $A = \underline{A}$, $A^* = \underline{A^*}$, $B = \underline{B}$, $B^* = \underline{B^*}$. The line $\left((x_1', x_2', x_3')^\top, (y_1', y_2')^\top\right)$ denotes the transient behavior of FIIPNN (1.1) when $A = \overline{A}$, $A^* = \overline{A^*}$, $B = \overline{B}$, $B^* = \overline{B^*}$.

Example 4.2. Consider the following fractional-order interval implicit projection neural network

$$\begin{cases} {}_0^C D_t^{\alpha} x(t) = P_{u(x(t))+K_1}\left[x(t) - \rho A x(t) - \rho a\right] - x(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x_i(0) = x_{i0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))^{\top}$, $\alpha = 0.9$, $\rho = 0.25$, $u(x(t)) = (u_1(x(t)), u_2(x(t)))^{\top} = (h_{ij})_{2\times 2} \cdot (x_1(t), x_2(t))^{\top}$, $a = (-4.8, 0)$, $A \in A_I$,

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.7 & -1.1 \\ -1.8 & 3.1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.6 & 1.3 \\ 3.8 & 3.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (h_{ij})_{2\times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.11 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$K_1 = \left\{x = (x_1, x_2)^{\top} \in R^2 | 0 \leq x_1 \leq 2.5,\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 0.5\right\}.$$

If $\mu_1 = 2$, $\mu_2 = 1$, then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} 1 - \rho\overline{a}_{11} - h_{11} = 0.05 > 0, \\ 1 - \rho\overline{a}_{22} - h_{22} = 0.04 > 0, \\ 0 < 1 - \rho\underline{a}_{11} - h_{11} + |h_{11}| + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \max\left\{|\rho\underline{a}_{21}|, |\rho\overline{a}_{21}|\right\} = 0.95 < 1, \\ 0 < 1 - \rho\underline{a}_{22} - h_{22} + |h_{22}| + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \max\left\{|\rho\underline{a}_{12}|, |\rho\overline{a}_{12}|\right\} = 0.875 < 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, neural network (4.1) has a unique equilibrium point for each $A \in A_I$ and (4.1) is globally Mittag-Leffler stable for each $A \in A_I$. Figure 3 shows the trajectories of (4.1) with the same initial value $x_0 = (5.8, -4.2)^{\top}$, when $A = \underline{A}$ and $A = \overline{A}$, respectively.



Figure 3: The line $(x_1, x_2)^{\top}$ denotes the transient behavior of (4.1) when $A = \underline{A}$. The line $(x_1', x_2')^{\top}$ denotes the transient behavior of (4.1) when $A = \overline{A}$.

References

[1] Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, N.J. Huang, A system of fractional-order interval projection neural networks, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **294** (2016) 389-402.

[2] Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, Global fraction-order projective dynamical systems, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **19** (2014) 2811-2819.

[3] M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor, A.G. Khan, Dynamical systems for quasi variational inequalities, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **6** (2015) 193-209.

[4] A.S. Antipin, Minimization of convex functions on convex sets by means of differential equations, *Differ. Equ.* **30** (1994) 1365-1375.

[5] J. Bolte, Continuous gradient projection method in Hilbert spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **119** (2003) 235-259.

[6] K. Ding, N.J. Huang, A new class of interval projection neural networks for solving interval quadratic program, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **35** (2008) 718-725.

[7] P. Dupuis, A. Nagurney, Dynamical systems and variational inequalities, *Ann. Oper. Res.* **44** (1993) 9-42.

[8] T.L. Friesz, D. Bernstein, N.J. Mehta, R.L. Tobin, S. Ganjalizadeh, Day-to-day dynamic network disequilibria and idealized traveler information systems, *Oper. Res.* **42** (1994) 1120-1136.

[9] T.L. Friesz, Z.G. Suo, D.H. Bernstein, A dynamic disequilibrium interregional commodity flow model, *Transpn Res.-B* **32** (1998) 467-483.

[10] D. Kinderlehrer, G. Stampacchia, An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[11] X.K. Wu, Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, Existence, uniqueness and stability for a class of interval projective dynamical systems, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **20** (2013) 81-94.

[12] Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, X.S. Li, Y.B. Xiao, A new class of global fractional-order projective dynamical systems in Hilbert spaces, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (2017) 1-16.

[13] X.K. Wu, Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, Sensitivity of the set of solutions for a class of fractional set-valued projected dynamical systems, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **20** (2015) 95-105.

[14] Z.B. Wu, Y.Z. Zou, N.J. Huang, A class of global fractional-order projective dynamical systems involving set-valued perturbations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **277** (2016) 23-33.

[15] Y.S. Xia, J. Wang, On the stability of globally projected dynamical systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **106** (2000) 129-150.

[16] Y.S. Xia, Further results on global convergence and stability of globally projected dynamical systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **122** (2004) 627-649.

[17] D. Zhang, A. Nagurney, On the stability of projected dynamical systems, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **85** (1995) 97-124.

[18] Y.Z. Zou, C.Y. Sun, Equilibrium points for two related projective dynamical systems, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **19**(4) (2012) 109-117.

[19] Y.Z. Zou, X. Li, N.J. Huang, C.Y. Sun, Global dynamical systems involving generalized f-projection operators and set-valued perturbation in Banach spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* **2012** (2012) 12.

[20] Y.Z. Zou, X.K. Wu, W.B. Zhang, C.Y. Sun, An iterative method for a class of generalized global dynamical system involving fuzzy mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Lecture Notes in Commput. Sci.* **7666**(2012) 44-51.

[21] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.

[22] C.P. Li, F.R. Zhang, A survey on the stability of fractional differential equations, *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **193** (2011) 27-47.

[23] N. Ozalp, I. Koca, A fractional order nonlinear dynamical model of interpersonal relationships, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2012** (2012) 189.

[24] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.

[25] S.B. Skaar, A.N. Michel, R.K. Miller, Stability of viscoelastic control systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **33** (1988) 348-357.

[26] P.J. Torvik, R.L. Bagley, On the appearance of the fractional derivative in the behavior of real materials, *J. Appl. Mech.* **51** (1984) 294-298.

[27] K. Diethelm, The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: An Application-Oriented Exposition Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type, Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[28] C. Baiocchi, A. Capelo, Variational and Quasi Variational Inequalities, J. Wiley & Sons, London, 1984.

[29] R. Glowinski, J.L. Lions, R. Trémolières, Numerical Analysis of Variational Inequalities, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1981.

[30] U. Mosco, Implicit Variational Problems and Quasi Variational Inequalities, Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.

[31] D. Chan, J.S. Pang, The generalized quasi-variational inequality problem, *Math. Oper. Res.* **7** (1982) 211-222.

[32] Y. Li, Y.Q. Chen, I. Podlubny, Stability of fractional-order nonlinear dynamic systems: Lyapunov direct method and generalized Mittag-Leffler stability, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010) 1810-1821.

[33] S. Zhang, Y.G. Yu, H. Wang, Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional-order Hopfield neural networks, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **16** (2015) 104-121.

[34] J. Yu, C. Hu, H.J. Jiang, α-stability and α-synchronization for fractional-order neural networks, *Neural Netw.* **35** (2012) 82-87.

[35] K.X. Li, J.G. Peng, J.H. Gao, A comment on "α-stability and α-synchronization for fractional-order neural networks", *Neural Netw.* **48** (2013) 207-208.

[36] J.J. Chen, Z.G. Zeng, P. Jiang, Global Mittag-Leffler stability and synchronization of memristor-based fractional-order neural networks, *Neural Netw.* **51** (2014) 1-8.

[37] B.S. Chen, J.J. Chen, Global asymptotical ω-periodicity of a fractional-order non-autonomous neural networks, *Neural Netw.* **68** (2015) 78-88.